

The Reflective Containment Model: Re-situating the School and University Counsellor Within the Institutional Psyche

Ms Meghna Joshi¹

Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

¹ Counselling Psychologist and School Mental Health Consultant; The Indian School, India; joshimeghna96@gmail.com

* **Correspondence:** joshimeghna96@gmail.com

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.20954314 | Volume 01, Issue 01, 2026 | Pages 11-17

Abstract

Educational institutions are experiencing an expansion of mental health discourse alongside persistent ambiguity regarding the structural positioning of school and university counsellors. While wellbeing language has become embedded in policy and institutional rhetoric, the systemic conditions required for sustained psychological containment remain underdeveloped. Counsellors are frequently tasked with absorbing complex emotional material from students, parents, faculty, and leadership without adequate role clarity, supervision, or institutional authority. Drawing on the psychoanalytic work of Wilfred Bion and subsequent organisational theorists, this paper conceptualises the counsellor as an institutional alpha function and proposes the Reflective Containment Model (RCM), a multi-layered framework operating at clinical (micro), organisational (meso), and cultural (macro)

levels. Integrating psychodynamic theory with structural reform, the paper outlines a phased implementation pathway for educational institutions. It argues that sustainable school and university counselling requires a shift from service-based wellbeing provision to the development of reflective, containing systems.

Keywords: Mental Health; School Counsellors; University Counsellors; Well-being; Reflective Containment Model (RCM)

1. Introduction

The contemporary educational landscape is saturated with the language of mental health. Anxiety, trauma, resilience, emotional regulation, and identity exploration are no longer marginal topics; they are central to institutional discourse. Schools and universities increasingly position themselves as psychologically responsive environments. Students are encouraged to seek support. Parents expect emotional literacy from educators. Leadership teams speak of wellbeing alongside academic excellence. Yet beneath this progressive shift lies a persistent structural tension. The counsellor, often the designated custodian of this emotional mandate, remains ambiguously positioned within the institutional hierarchy. In many contexts, a single counsellor serves an entire school community. Clinical supervision is inconsistently funded. Contracts may be temporary. Administrative and compliance-related tasks encroach upon therapeutic space. The counsellor is simultaneously visible and marginal, central and peripheral. This paradox suggests that while emotional life has gained discursive legitimacy, the structures required to hold it have not evolved proportionately. The burden of containment remains concentrated within individual professionals rather than distributed across institutional systems. Burnout, role confusion, and professional marginalisation are therefore not isolated occupational issues; they are symptoms of systemic strain.

This paper approaches these dynamics through a psychodynamic lens. It argues that emerging challenges in school and university counselling reflect failures of containment within the institutional psyche. The Reflective Containment Model (RCM) is proposed as both an interpretive framework and a practical blueprint for reform.

2. Literature Review and Theoretical Framework: Containment and Institutional Life

Understanding the structural challenges of educational counselling requires a theory of emotional process within groups and organisations. Psychoanalytic and organisational psychodynamic literature provides such a framework.

2.1. Containment and Alpha Function

The work of Wilfred Bion remains foundational. Bion conceptualised containment as the process by which raw, unprocessed emotional experiences – the beta elements are received and transformed through alpha function into thinkable form. Without such transformation, emotional material is evacuated through action, projection, or fragmentation. As Bion observed, “The capacity to think depends upon the capacity to tolerate frustration.” Thinking

emerges not in the absence of anxiety, but in its survival. Bion extended this insight beyond the clinical dyad to group life. In *Experiences in Groups*, he described how groups under stress regress to basic assumption functioning—dependency, fight–flight, or pairing—thereby displacing reflective thought. In such states, action substitutes for thinking. Institutions assembled for education may unconsciously operate as anxiety-management systems.

Within educational settings, the counsellor frequently occupies the position of institutional alpha function. Students bring performance panic and identity uncertainty. Teachers bring exhaustion and classroom frustration. Parents bring intergenerational aspiration and fear. Leadership brings reputational anxiety. The counsellor receives these projections and attempts to metabolise them. Yet Bion's model also implies that the container requires containment. When counsellors operate without supervision, role clarity, or authority, their capacity for alpha function is compromised. The institutional system demands thinking while failing to structurally support it.

2.2. Holding Environments and Developmental Security

The contributions of Donald Winnicott deepen this understanding. Winnicott's concept of the holding environment describes the relational reliability necessary for psychological development. Holding is not control. What it truly represents is emotional steadiness. It allows the developing individual to explore without disintegration. Educational institutions function as secondary holding environments during adolescence and emerging adulthood. When schools become excessively performative or unstable, the holding function weakens. Students may oscillate between compliance and collapse. Winnicott's observation that "It is a joy to be hidden, but disaster not to be found" resonates within educational systems where distress may remain masked by achievement until crisis erupts. The counsellor often becomes the one who finds what the system has not contained.

2.3. Social Defences and Organisational Anxiety

The institutional dimension of anxiety is further illuminated by Isabel Menzies Lyth, whose study of hospital systems identified "Social defences against anxiety." She demonstrated how organisational structures - task fragmentation, rigid procedures, depersonalisation- can function unconsciously to protect staff from overwhelming emotional exposure. Educational institutions similarly confront anxieties related to safeguarding, evaluation, and developmental vulnerability. Administrative overload and procedural rigidity may operate as social defences. By embedding counselling within bureaucratic frameworks, institutions reduce unpredictability but simultaneously constrain the depth of reflection. Counsellors become procedural agents rather than containing thinkers.

2.4. The Unconscious at Work in Organisations

The organisational psychoanalytic perspectives of Anton Obholzer and R. D. Hinshelwood further clarify how institutions embody unconscious dynamics. They argue that leadership structures, reporting hierarchies, and communication patterns may reflect attempts to manage unarticulated fear. Institutions oscillate between manic defence - excessive activity and optimism- and defensive paralysis. In educational contexts, visible wellbeing programming may represent manic reassurance rather than structural containment. Without reflective integration, such initiatives risk remaining symbolic rather than transformative.

3. Emerging Challenges in School and University Counselling

Against this theoretical backdrop, contemporary counselling challenges appear less accidental and more systemic. Role diffusion remains pervasive. In the absence of formal role articulation, counsellors are cast simultaneously as therapists, behaviour managers, career advisors, crisis responders, and administrative coordinators. Projection fills structural ambiguity. The counsellor becomes a rescuer, a regulator, and sometimes a scapegoat. Contractual precarity compounds this ambiguity. Short-term appointments and misaligned pay scales symbolically marginalise emotional labour. If containment is foundational to institutional well-being, its structural instability communicates unconscious ambivalence toward dependency and vulnerability. Administrative overload further erodes containment capacity. Reverie, the reflective openness necessary for metabolising emotional material, cannot survive chronic interruption. Documentation demands and compliance tasks fragment psychic space. Culturally, mental health has gained visibility without depth. Institutions may endorse resilience while intensifying performance pressure. Students are encouraged to express vulnerability yet evaluated competitively. This contradiction generates chronic frustration—precisely the condition Bion identified as necessary for thinking but intolerable when uncontained.

4. The Reflective Containment Model

The Reflective Containment Model responds to these challenges by redistributing containment across three interconnected levels, which I have broadly distributed into three areas that can be uniformly adopted by schools. They are: Clinical (Micro), Organisational (Meso), and Cultural (Macro).

4.1. Clinical Containment: Sustaining the Alpha Function

At the micro level, the counsellor performs the alpha function within therapeutic encounters. Adolescents often communicate distress through enactment before language. Acting out, withdrawal, perfectionism, or somatic symptoms signal unprocessed affect. Containment requires time, privacy, and reflective steadiness. Best practice at this level includes: A) Written scope-of-practice documentation; B) Protected clinical hours insulated from routine administrative encroachment; C) Developmentally appropriate caseload thresholds; and D) Funded, regular clinical supervision embedded in policy. Supervision serves as containment for the container, allowing emotional residue to be metabolised rather than accumulated.

4.2. Organisational Containment: Thinking at System Level

Containment must extend beyond individual sessions. Organisational containment begins with institutional audit: mapping the counsellor's actual task distribution, identifying role creep, and assessing burnout indicators. From this audit, formal role clarity should emerge, distinguishing therapeutic, consultative, safeguarding, and administrative responsibilities. Reporting structures must preserve therapeutic autonomy; dual alignment with academic and wellbeing leadership may mitigate disciplinary conflation. Distributed reflective mechanisms are equally essential. I strongly recommend that the following framework be deployed at a

uniform level. It begins with: A) Monthly staff reflection forums, B) Peer consultation groups, C) Cross-institutional supervision networks, and D) Structured case discussions. These spaces enable teachers and administrators to think about emotional dynamics rather than react defensively. Containment becomes distributed rather than person-dependent.

4.3. Cultural Containment: Embedding Psychological Literacy

At the macro level, containment becomes embedded within institutional identity. Emotional literacy modules integrated into curricula, structured parent psychoeducation, transition programmes during high-stress academic phases, and annual wellbeing impact reviews shift counselling from a reactive service to a preventive ecosystem. Compensation and contractual stability form part of cultural containment. Permanent positions and aligned pay scales symbolically affirm the centrality of emotional labour.

5. Practical Pathway for Implementation

The Reflective Containment Model unfolds in four phases:

Phase One: Reflective Audit

Systematic review of role expectations, time allocation, supervision access, and psychological climate.

Phase Two: Structural Clarification

Formal role descriptions, supervision contracts, protected clinical hours, and revised reporting hierarchies.

Phase Three: Distributed Reflective Practice

Institutionalisation of staff reflection circles, peer supervision, and parent engagement calendars.

Phase Four: Cultural Integration

Embedding wellbeing metrics into annual reviews, incorporating counselling representation into strategic planning, and aligning compensation structures.

These reforms prioritise intentionality over expansion. Even resource-limited institutions can implement clarity and reflective time.

6. Discussion: The Institutional Fear of Thinking

Resistance to containment reform often reflects anxiety about slowing down. Reflective processes expose uncertainty and vulnerability. Institutions under pressure may default to rapid policy shifts, punitive measures, or symbolic programming—manifestations of basic assumption functioning. Dependency appears in overreliance on the counsellor to “fix” distress. Fight-or-flight manifests as disciplinary escalation. Pairing surfaces in idealised future reforms. The Reflective Containment Model challenges these regressions by embedding reflective space into governance structures. When institutions tolerate frustration

rather than evacuate it, thinking becomes possible. Emotional life becomes discussable rather than enacted.

7. Conclusions

The visibility of mental health within education marks cultural progress. Yet visibility without structural containment produces professional strain and systemic fragility. Counsellors cannot indefinitely absorb institutional anxiety without reciprocal support. Drawing on Bion and subsequent organisational theorists, this paper has reframed counselling challenges as indicators of containment failure within the institutional psyche. The Reflective Containment Model offers a framework for redistributing containment across clinical, organisational, and cultural domains. The future of school and university counselling depends not on expanding services alone, but on transforming institutions into reflective systems capable of thinking about their own emotional life. When containment becomes structural rather than individual, counsellors are no longer silent absorbers of collective anxiety; they become facilitators of institutional thought. The question facing educational leadership is therefore not whether they employ counsellors, but whether they are prepared to build institutions that can contain what education inevitably evokes.

References

- Bion, W.R. (1969). *Experiences in Groups: and Other Papers* (1st ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203359075>
- Bion, W. (2023). *Learning From Experience* (1st ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003411840>
- Hinshelwood, R.D., & Skogstad, W. (Eds.). (2000). *Observing Organisations: Anxiety, Defence and Culture in Health Care* (1st ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203135150>
- Menzies Lyth, I. (1988). *Containing anxiety in institutions: Selected essays* (Vol. 1). Free Association Books. (Original work published 1959)
- Obholzer, A., Roberts, D.V.Z., & Members of the Tavistock Clinic 'Consulting to Institutions' Workshop (Eds.). (1994). *The Unconscious at Work: Individual and Organizational Stress in the Human Services* (1st ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203359860>
- Winnicott, D. W. (1960). The theory of the parent–infant relationship. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis*, 41, 585–595.
- Winnicott, D. W. (1965). The maturational processes and the facilitating environment: Studies in the theory of emotional development. *The International Psycho-Analytic Library*, 64, 1-276.
- Winnicott, D. W. (1971). *Playing and reality*. Tavistock Publications.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of Expressions India and/or the editor(s). Expressions India and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.
