

From Demographic Dividend to Psychological Liability: Youth, Mental Health, and the Crisis of Life-Readiness in Contemporary India

Aman Jaswal¹

Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

¹ Lecturer; Staffordshire University, United Kingdom; aman.jaswal@staffs.ac.uk

* **Correspondence:** aman.jaswal@staffs.ac.uk

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.21192388 | Volume 01, Issue 01, 2026 | Pages 41-46

Abstract

India's demographic dividend is often touted as a structural advantage that can drive sustained economic growth and social change. However, the emerging patterns of youth distress through increasing suicides, substance abuse, emotional imbalance and declining resilience challenge this assumption. This paper develops a sociological argument that while crisis is not located solely within individuals, it is also the systems that produce them. The objective of the research conceptualises life-readiness among youth as declining, based on theoretical frameworks such as anomie, ecological systems theory, and cultural reproduction and policy references such as the National Education Policy (2020) and the World Mental Health Report (2022). The drop manifests as reduced attention spans, diminished emotional

regulation, disorganised social identity, and an imbalance between cognitive intelligence (IQ) and emotional and mental health capacities (EQ and MHQ). The paper argues that India's demographic dividend could turn into a demographic liability with long-term socio-economic consequences, unless there is a systemic realignment across education, digital environments and community structures.

Keywords: Youth Mental Health Crisis in India; Life Skills Education (NEP 2020); WHO School Mental Health Framework; Digital Attention Economy & Emotional Resilience

1. Introduction

Youth in India have been consistently recognised as an essential component of its developmental path, fostering productivity, impact, innovation and global competitiveness. However, this path of a demographic advantage brings before us a less acknowledged reality: a generation under psychological stress and strain, and, when seen through the lens of Merton, provides a rational explanation for the youth's understanding of today's conformity, innovation, ritualism, retreatism, and rebellion. For example, Merton argues that the strain between our culture's emphasis on wealth and the lack of opportunities for success may tempt some people, especially those living at or below the poverty line, to engage in demeaning activities. According to the National Crime Records Bureau (2022), over 13,000 students committed suicide. In every 7 teenagers, 1 of them has a mental health disorder, according to the World Health Organisation (2022). There are symptoms of a broader imbalance between the pressure imposed on young people and their ability to meet it. The experience of modern youth is shaped by rapid socio-economic change, algorithmically mediated digital surroundings and performance-oriented educational systems. The question is, are young people being prepared to succeed, or are they being trained to perform, but not sustain?

1.1. Research Question

To what extent is India's demographic dividend being undermined by a systemic decline in life-readiness defined through attention capacity, emotional regulation, stress resilience, and social integration, and how do educational, technological, and socio-cultural systems contribute to this transformation?

2. Discussion

The tendency to treat youth despair as an individual problem obscures its systemic roots. The increasing social disorder is accelerating self-harm, drug addiction, aggressiveness, and disengagement. Defined by Émile Durkheim, anomie is an important concept to understand this state. It portrays a time when drastic social transformation disrupts the system and leaves individuals without a sense of purpose or belonging. The conventional forms of socialisation, such as family cohesion, community embeddedness and slow mobility, are on the decline in India, and hyper-competition, digital visibility, and aspirational consumerism have increased without psychological support. The result is a generation with increased aspirations but less preparedness.

This consumer revolution has a paradigm shift in attention. Historically, attention was considered an internal, cognitive mechanism that provided stability in how we process information. Today, however, attention has been "captured" outside our brains by algorithms on digital platforms designed to increase user participation. These platforms use loops of stimulation and validation to generate responses, which some refer to as "dopamine-mediated economies." According to Adam Alter (2017), the primary goal of many of these systems is to provide users with habits that will, over time, shape their thinking. In turn, the outcomes include a decreased attention span, a reduced ability to focus for extended periods, and an increasing reliance on external validation. However, there is also evidence of a much broader impact than simply cognitive changes. When consumers are exposed to a combination of increased visibility, extreme emotions, and/or emotionally charged, sensationalised content, those components of the experience are added to the social value and begin to influence norms such as aggressive, impulsive decision-making and self-expression through performance.

With this reorganisation of cognition comes a serious dearth of emotional growth. In the Indian education system, cognitive intelligence (IQ) is the primary indicator of success, while emotional intelligence (EQ) and mental health quotient (MHQ) remain on the periphery. In complex social and personal matters, Daniel Goleman (1995) suggests that emotional intelligence comprises self-awareness, empathy, and emotional control. There is no institutional reward or systematic instruction of these skills. This leads to even greater structural imbalance, and people can achieve but fail to sustain or cope but fail to maintain. The capacity to deal with life's uncertainties is important here; it is the Mental Health Quotient (MHQ).

This disparity is recreated in institutions. According to Pierre Bourdieu's cultural capital theory, institutions of learning favour some forms of knowledge and impoverish others. In India, the main indicators of intellectual performance are grades, rankings and certificates, and emotional and social skills are not taken into consideration. The Ministry of Education, through the National Education Policy (2020), acknowledges the importance of comprehensive, interdisciplinary learning that focuses on developing life skills, critical thinking, and socio-emotional growth. Additionally, structural implementation is the issue. Which government- and privately run schools in India teach sociology, media studies, and life skills lessons that instruct students and mentors on how to live, rather than evaluation based on exams? The policy dictates change, and action supports reproduction. Students are nurtured to perform within confined boundaries, yet are not ready to face life's complexities.

This imbalance is even more evident in the rise of drug use, financial misconduct, gender-specific violence, and self-injury, which are usually treated as other disorders of a similar nature, with the lack of emotional regulation, identity and stability. Deviance displays poor social integration, Durkheim (1951) says. Institutions of cohesion in society that existed before the advent of new technology, like old forms of communal life, are fading, and digital networks, though large, are not as deep or as responsible for achieving the desired level of meaningful membership. The interdependence of social relationships leads to social isolation and an inappropriate integration of safe bonds. Loss of the ability to create long-term relationships leads to emotional vulnerability and results in isolation and instability.

Biological and lifestyle factors are rarely analysed. Junk food, inactivity, electronics and sleeping disturbance impact mood, attentiveness and stress response. The psychological problem is a mental health issue, which is social and biological. The youth distress we are experiencing at present stems from the inability to train them for life. The current social setups are not about acceptance but about rejection: lack of opportunity, unending competition, and ultimate failure. Young individuals seldom have the psychological skills to handle rejection well. People are instead driven towards unceasing validation and economic

success. Crises cause collapse, not adaptation, without coping strategies. Therefore, signs of structural dysfunction are self-harm, drug misuse, and withdrawal.

3. Way Forward

The disparity presents a momentous shift in mental abilities. Products of development cannot be natural anymore with positive affirmation, self-belief and resilience. They have to be developed intelligently. Being self-motivated without a pat on the back, making positive choices amid uncertainty, and overcoming adversity are now acquired skills, not inherent qualities. Those skills are still almost non-existent in formal education. NEP 2020 mentions the concept of holistic development; however, in practice, disciplines such as sociology, media literacy, and value-based education did not become significant parts of the core and evaluated aspects. An education system that still rewards only those who rely solely on cognitive recall cannot expect to produce emotionally strong people.

It is no less disturbing that adolescent distress continues to be trivialised as a social phenomenon. Emotional challenges are usually not taken seriously, dismissed as an overreaction or a sign of weakness, thereby stigmatising access to support. Normalising mental health care and thus therapy should not be perceived as a correctional process but rather as developmental. In the meantime, structural methods must involve altering the contexts in which nature experiences: less reliance on ultra-processed foods, more physical activity, re-establishing sleep hygiene, and more critical use of digital exposure. The postponement of unlimited access to digital technologies and the creation of awareness of the effects of algorithms are two important activities to restore attentional control and autonomy.

The need to rebuild community goes beyond interventions of individuals and institutions. Common social places have destroyed the informal systems through which emotional learning and social responsibility were passed down. The renewal of these areas in schools, neighbourhoods and civic spaces is key to renewing a sense of belonging that cannot be brought about through digital connectivity alone. The role of the state cannot, however, be relegated to merely formulating policies; it must also influence developmental environments. Investment in school-based mental health systems, redefining and inventing more community spaces, integration of social-emotional learning into curricula, regulation of digital ecosystems, and most importantly, changing attitudes towards education as a means to not only attain a high-paying job but also using it for a more holistic development are not peripheral concerns but central to long-term societal stability.

Lastly, any serious response should not only view youth as beneficiaries of an intervention but also as change agents. Emotionally competent, socially aware leadership by youth can transform peer culture and disrupt unhealthy norms. However, this kind of leadership requires environments of experimentation and failure, and offers a situation of dialogue that is usually not present in rigid, performance-oriented systems. Preparation of the youth to live, then, is not merely a matter of equipping them with skills but of empowering them to take part in the reinvention of structures in which those skills are put into practice.

The analysis of the mental health and life-readiness of youth has concluded that it is neither incidental nor unalterable; it is produced by structure and hence reversible by structure. However, what is needed is a reversal of the approach to interventions, from deploying them in silos to a multi-level restructuring of how societies train people to live. In addition, education must undergo a radical change from being a performance optimisation system to a system of human capability development. It is about using disciplines like sociology, media literacy, and ethics in early education, not as auxiliary or extracurricular subjects, but as a fundamental part of the curriculum, evaluated by pragmatic, experiential systems rather than

conventional analysis. Emotional regulation, decision-making under uncertainty, and stress recovery exercises and workshops must be included in the assessment system and treated with the same seriousness as cognitive achievement. And it is not realistic to think that what is produced will change without a redefinition of what is rewarded.

At the same time, school ecosystems should be areas of psychological maturation, rather than academic free flow. It should be the trend rather than an exception to institutionalise disciplined social-emotional learning (SEL), a peer support system, and professionally trained mental health facilitators. It is not in conflict with the vision provided in the Ministry of Education's National Education Policy (2020) and the preventive frameworks suggested by the World Health Organisation (2022), but it demands much stricter implementation and accountability mechanisms. Above all, education needs to change its measurement of human value from grades and come to the realisation that the skills of dealing with failure, interpersonal relationships, and uncertainty are the keys to building a nation as much as academic excellence is. Outside of schools, everyday situations need to be modified. Health education should collaborate with public health to address the biological causes of mental health, reducing the consumption of ultra-processed foods, promoting physical activity, and restoring adequate sleep in children as a fundamental priority. In the meantime, digital exposure should be thought through. To recover cognitive agency, delayed access to algorithmically driven platforms, the capacity of various cohorts to be digitally literate, critical evaluation of attention and validation loops, and age-based regulatory frameworks are required. Without changing attention architecture, it will be less able to improve concentration and emotional control.

It is also important to normalise mental health treatment as part of development, rather than a response to a crisis. Therapy, counselling and psychological assistance must be regarded as life skills and not weaknesses. The shift involves addressing an overwhelming tendency of society to dismiss teenage suffering as overexaggeration or drama, which facilitates stigma and procrastination. Suffering should be validated in order to manage it.

Social community necessity: reconstruct shared places of sociality. Informal networks in neighbourhoods, schools and civic organisations facilitate empathy, accountability and a sense of belonging. People with shallow, disjointed digital substitutes have been driven out by their own decay. Therefore, it is desirable to invest again in community institutions to recover that social grounding that nurtures emotional competencies rather than a nostalgic homecoming.

The state should move to establish an environment that integrates life skills and mental health into the primary curriculum, invest in mental health services in schools, and regulate digital environments that disproportionately affect juveniles' responses. Top-down approaches have no way of altering the systems. Youth must be the change agents. Dialogic, experimental, and communal problem-solving spaces might assist teenage leaders in reshaping peer norms and modifying culture. Such leadership is needed in a society dominated by a young majority.

According to these strategies, it is possible to redefine development. We not only desire competitive people, but people who can survive, adapt, and play a role in harsh, even brutal environments. Not whether India is experiencing a demographic dividend, but whether it would spend on its sustainability.

4. Conclusion

The demographic dividend that India faces is not a certainty but a future. Having a large young population does not necessarily ensure a nation's success, as it overlooks the psychological, emotional, and social training needed. Success and happiness, productivity and strength, possibility and potential are becoming disjoined. To seal this breach, institutions of instruction, politics, and society need to be reimagined to focus on life-fitness standards rather than short-term measures of success. In the absence of this transition, the demographic dividend will run the danger of being a demographic burden since there are systemic constraints, rather than youth constraints.

References

- Alter, A. (2017). *Irresistible: The rise of addictive technology and the business of keeping us hooked*. Penguin.
- Bourdieu, P. (1986). The forms of capital. In J. G. Richardson (Ed.), *Handbook of theory and research for the sociology of education* (pp. 241–258). Greenwood.
- Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). *The ecology of human development*. Harvard University Press.
- Durkheim, E. (1951). *Suicide: A study in sociology*. Free Press. (Original work published 1897)
- Durlak, J. A., Weissberg, R. P., Dymnicki, A. B., Taylor, R. D., & Schellinger, K. B. (2011). The impact of enhancing students' social and emotional learning: A meta-analysis. *Child Development*, 82(1), 405–432.
- Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional intelligence*. Bantam Books.
- Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2020). *National Education Policy 2020*.
- National Crime Records Bureau. (2022). *Accidental deaths and suicides in India 2022*. Ministry of Home Affairs.
- World Health Organisation. (2022). *World mental health report: Transforming mental health for all*.
-

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of Expressions India and/or the editor(s). Expressions India and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.
